



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		A Committee of the Comm		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,782	08/21/2001	Cornelius Wilhelmus Antonius Marie Van Overveld	NL000465	6741
24737	7590 03/15/2004		EXAMINER	
	TELLECTUAL PROF	HIRL, JOSEPH P		
P.O. BOX 300	01			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2121	11
		DATEMAN ED 02/16/0004	1. (	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SL

				$\sim$
₹) # <sup>*</sup>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Advisory Action		09/933,782	VAN OVERVELD, CO WILHELMUS ANTOI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph P. Hirl	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FA Therefore, further action by the applicar final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	nt is required to av <u>only</u> be either: (1 I Notice of Appea	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a ion in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE 706.07(f).	e mailing date of this A eriod for reply expire I E FIRST REPLY WAS	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 3 fee have been filed is the date for purposes of de fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any replitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term a	termining the period of the expiration date of y received by the Offi	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final Control of the final Contro	opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _ 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will	not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that we	ould require furthe	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new n	natter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place issues for appeal; and/or	the application in	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claim	ns without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>.</b> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the	ne following reject	tion(s)·		
Newly proposed or amended clair canceling the non-allowable clair.	m(s) would		eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or application in condition for allows	r c)⊠ request for	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be raised by the Examiner in the final		ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the property explanation of how the new or are	osed amendment nended claims wo	i(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered arwww or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or w	ill be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from conside	eration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on _		roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Dis		• • • • • • •		
10. Other:		1 1 16	<del></del>	
		PRIMARY EXAMINER	031004	ł
		For Amil Kho	Bi.	
Batost and Tradament Office		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive.

1. Applicant's response of March 8, 2004 is directed to both Application No. 09/408,943 and Application No. 09/933,782. Page 1 cites references to both applications and to Attorney Docket No. NL00.0465. Pages 2-14 cite Application No. 09/408,943 and Attorney Docket No. NL00.0465. From past correspondance with the applicant, the substance of the application associated with NL00.0465 is unknown to application 09/408,943, entitled "Computer Configuration for Interfacing to a Secured Data Body". In the spirit of compact prosecution, the Examiner will disguard the reference to Application No. 09/408,943 on 14 pages of the applicant's response, assuming that the applicant's current response is indeed to Application No. 09/933,782. The applicant is required to confirm the correctness of this assumption in the next response to this office action.

2. Para 3 of the Final Office Action dated January 29, 2004 cites the perspective used by the Examiner in reviewing the subject application. Applicants case citations focusing on "in view of" and "in light of" are fully consistent with the Examiner's full latitude to intrepret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense.

3. The Examiner's Opinion as indicated in the Final Office Action dated January 29, 2004 sets the correct perspective for the Examiner's actions wherein Suzuki fully anticipates the applicant's invention.